

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,549	06/03/2005	Motoji Ohmori	2005_0839A	4770
513 7590 01/20/2010 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			ALMATRAHI, FARIS S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## 10/537 549 OHMORI ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit FARIS ALMATRAHI 3627 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) FARIS ALMATRAHI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. (2) Stephen Kopchik. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 13 January 2010 Time: 3:00 PM Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1-3 and 16-18 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627 /Faris Almatrahi/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Potential amendments of currently recited limitations in claims 1 and 3 were discussed emphasizing on allowable subject matter in claim 3. In Particular, proposed Examiner amendment to remove intended use language in claims 1 and 3 and to move up the limitations of claim 3 into claim 1 was discussed. Applicant representative indicated that proposed amendments will require clients approval which required time to communicate with client.